

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTIOCH SECTION 8 FAMILIES)	
FOR FAIR HOUSING, et al.,)	
)	No. C08-2301 BZ
Plaintiff(s),)	
)	ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFFS'
v.)	IN FORMA PAUPERIS
)	APPLICATIONS
CITY OF ANTIOCH, et al.,)	
)	
Defendant(s).)	
_____)	

This suit was filed by four individual plaintiffs and a "group plaintiff," the Antioch Section 8 Families for Fair Housing, that is not eligible for *in forma pauperis* status because it is not a natural person. Rowland v. Cal. Men's Colony, unit II Men's Advisory Council, 506 U.S. 194, 212 (1993). Even though plaintiffs paid the filing fee, the individual plaintiffs have submitted applications to proceed *in forma pauperis*. If the individual plaintiffs wish the court to rule on their applications, they should file by **May 30, 2008** a written explanation of the purpose to be served by having the individuals be considered for *in forma pauperis* status, after the fee was paid.

1 The individual applications appear to contain
2 inconsistencies and inaccuracies. For example, plaintiff
3 Scott claims a gross income of \$1500 per month but a net
4 income of \$1600 per month. Assuming, plaintiffs wish to
5 proceed, each plaintiff is urged to carefully review her
6 application and determine whether it should be withdrawn or
7 corrected.

8 Dated: May 15, 2008

9 
10 Bernard Zimmerman
United States Magistrate Judge

11 G:\BZALL\BZCASES\Antioch Section 8\ORDER RE APPLICATIONS FOR IFP.wpd
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28